

STO/SB/92 (09-04)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0561-0031
Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Certificate of Mailing under 37 CFR 1.8

Applicant(s):

Levit et al.

Docket no 91820

Application No.

Filing Date:

March 29, 2004

Customer No.

Group Art Unit: 2661

10/812,321

Invention: Lyer-3 Network Routing with RPR Layer-2 Visibility

I hereby certify that this Information Disclosure Statement is being deposited with the United States

Examiner:

TBA

Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to "Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR 1.8(a)] on

February 6, 2007

(Date)

Gerald T. Shekleton Reg. No. 27,466
(Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Correspondence)

Signature of Person Mailing Correspondence)

Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of mailing, or this certificate must identify each submitted paper.

Certificate of Mailing by First Class Mail (37 CFR 1.8); Information Disclosure Statement, PTO Form 1449 citing 7 U.S. Patent documents (not enclosed); also enclosed are two U.S. Office Actions and PTO Form 892, authorization to charge deposit account no. 23-0920 if necessary, and a return receipt postcard.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees which may be required, including, if necessary, the filing fee if the above-referenced check is in the wrong amount, unsigned, postdated, or otherwise improper or informal or missing, or credit any overpayment

to Deposit Account No. 23-0920.

FEB 1 2 2007

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

. 6 7		
Application of:)	Art Unit: 2661
Alex LEVIT, et al.)	Examiner: (N/A)
Serial No.: 10/812.321	į	Washington, D.C.
Seriai No.: 10/812,321)	
Filed: March 29, 2004)	December 31, 2006
For: LYER-3 NETWORK ROUTING WITH	í	Docket No.: 91820
RPR LAYER-2 VISIBILITY		

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT [IDS]

Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

This Information Disclosure Statement is submitted in accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.97, 1.98, and it is requested that the information set forth in this statement and in the listed documents be considered during the pendency of the above-identified application, and any other application relying on the filing date of the above-identified application or cross-referencing it as a related application.

[X] 1. This IDS should be considered, in accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.97, as it is filed:

(Check one of the boxes A-D)

- 1 A. within three months of the filing date of the above-identified national application or within three months of the entry into the national stage of the above-identified international application.
 - [X] B. before the mailing date of a first office action on the merits.
- [] C. after (A) and (B) above, but before final rejection or allowance, and Applicants have made the necessary certification (box "i" below) or paid the necessary fee (box "ii" below).

(check one of the boxes "i" and "ii" below:)

[] i. Counsel certifies that, upon information and belief, each item of information listed herein was either (a) cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of this IDS; or (b) was not cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application and, to the knowledge of undersigned after making reasonable inquiry, was not known to any individual designated in 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of this IDS.

[] ii. A check for the fee set forth in 1.17(p), presently believed to be \$240, is enclosed
(check no).
[] D. after (A), (B) and (C) above, but before payment of the issue fee: Applicant petitions under 37 C.F.R. 1.97(d) for the consideration of this IDS. A check for the fee set forth in §1.17(i), presently believed to be \$130\$ is enclosed (check no). Counsel certifies that, upon information and belief, each item of information listed herein was either (i) cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of the IDS; or (ii) was not cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application and, to the knowledge of the undersigned after making reasonable inquiry, was not known to any individual designated in 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of this IDS.
[] 2. In accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.98, this IDS includes a list (e.g., form PTO-1449) of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the office, either incorporated into this IDS or as an attachment hereto. A copy of each document listed is attached, except as explained below.
(check boxes A and/or B and fill in blanks, if appropriate.) A. Document(s) is (are) deemed substantially cumulative to document(s), and, in accordance with 1.98(c), only a copy of each of the latter documents is enclosed.
ciciosed.
[] B. Certain documents were previously cited by or submitted to the Office in the following prior application(s), which are relied upon under 35 U.S.C. 120:
[insert serial numbers and filing dates of prior applications]
Applicant identifies these documents by attaching hereto copies of the forms PTO-892 and PTO-1449 from the files of the prior application(s) or a fresh PTO-1449 listing these documents, and request that they be considered and made of record in accordance with 1.98(d). Per 37 CFR 1.98(d), copies of these documents need not be filed in this application.
[] 3. Documents is (are) not in the English language. In accordance with 1.98(c), Applicant states:
[] An English translation of each document (or of the pertinent portions thereof), or a copy of each corresponding English-language patent or application, or English-language abstract (or claim) is enclosed.
[] A concise explanation of the relevance of document(s) is found in the attached search report (see reply to Comment 68 in the preamble to the final rules; 1135 OG 13 at 20).
[] A concise explanation of the relevance of document(s) is set forth as follows: [Insert concise explanation of relevance]
[] A concise explanation of the relevance of document(s) can be found on page(s) of the specification.
[] A concise explanation of document(s) can be found on the attached sheet.

- 4. No explanation of relevance is necessary for documents in the English language (see reply to Comments 67 and 68 in the preamble to the final rules; 1135 OG 13 at 20).
 - [X] 5. Other information being provided for the examiner's consideration follows:

An Office Actions dated <u>August 10, 2006, and September 29, 2006</u> which issued during the prosecution of Applicant's U.S. Patent Application Numbers <u>09/935,970</u> and <u>10/211,066</u>, respectively.

6. In accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.97(g) and (h), the filing of this IDS should not be construed as a representation that a search has been made or that information cited is, or is considered to be, material to patentability as defined in S 1.56 (b), or that any cited document listed or attached is (or constitutes) prior art. Unless otherwise indicated, the date of publication indicated for an item is taken from the face of the item and Applicant reserves the right to prove that the date of publication is in fact different.

CROSS REFERENCE UNDER 37 C.F.R. &1.78 TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.78, Applicant notes that the above-identified patent application may be related to the following U.S. Patent Applications:

Respectfully submitted, Welsh & Katz, Ltd.

By:

Gerald T. Shekleton Registration No. 27,466 Attorney for Applicants

February 6, 2007

Welsh & Katz, Ltd. 120 S. Riverside Plaza 22nd Floor Chicago, IL 60606

Applicant Levit et al Filing Date March 29, 2004 Group N U.S. PATENT APPLICATION DOCUMENTS Examiner Document Number Date Name Class Subclass U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS	812,321 o. 2661 Filing Date Appropriate
U.S. PATENT APPLICATION DOCUMENTS Subclass Filing Date March 29, 2004 Group N	Filing Date Appropriate
Class Group N Filing Date Group N Filing Dat	Filing Date Appropriate
March 29, 2004	Filing Date Appropriate
U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS	Appropriate
U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS	Appropriate
U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS	iling Date
Document Number Date Name Class Subclass Fift	ling Date Appropriate
Document Number Date Name Class Subclass Fift	iling Date Appropriate
Document Number Date Name Class Subclass Fift	iling Date Appropriate
Document Number Date Name Class Subclass Fift	iling Date Appropriate
Document Number Date Name Class Subclass Fift	iling Date Appropriate
	Appropriate
6,507,577 01-2003 Mauger et al. 6,510,141 01-2003 Ramfelt et al. 6,522,627 02-2003 Mauger 6,778,494 08-2004 Mauger 6,992,397 10-2005 Mor et al. 6,992,975 01-2006 Daniel et al. 7,035,279 04-2006 Bruckman	
6,522,627 02-2003 Mauger 6,778,494 08-2004 Mauger 6,952,397 10-2005 Mor et al. 6,992,975 01-2006 Daniel et al. 7,035,279 04-2006 Bruckman	
6,522,627 02-2003 Mauger 6,778,494 08-2004 Mauger 6,952,397 10-2005 Mor et al. 6,992,975 01-2006 Daniel et al. 7,035,279 04-2006 Bruckman	
6,778,494 08-2004 Mauger 6,952,397 10-2005 Mor et al. 6,992,975 01-2006 Daniel et al. 7,035,279 04-2006 Bruckman	
6,952,397 10-2005 Mor et al. 6,992,975 01-2006 Daniel et al. 7,035,279 04-2006 Bruckman	
6,992,975 01-2006 Daniel et al. 7,035,279 04-2006 Bruckman	
7,035,279 04-2006 Bruckman	
FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS	
	anslation
Document Number Date Country Class Subclass Yes	No.
OTHER DOCUMENTS (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.) xaminer /Prenell Jones/ Date Considered 02/06/2009	
xaminer /Prenell Jones/ Date Considered 03/26/2008	
xaminer: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line th citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communicati applicant.	rough on to
аррисані.	